

1 KEVIN P. MUCK (CSB No. 120918)
kmuck@fenwick.com
2 CATHERINE KEVANE (CSB No. 215501)
ckevane@fenwick.com
3 MARIE C. BAFUS (CSB No. 258417)
mbafus@fenwick.com
4 FENWICK & WEST LLP
5 555 California Street, 12th Floor
6 San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 875-2300
Facsimile: (415) 281-1350

7 Attorneys for Defendants
8 Steven Clontz, Gary Hromadko, Scott Kriens,
9 William Luby, Irving Lyons, III, Christopher
Paisley, Stephen Smith, Peter Van Camp and
nominal defendant Equinix, Inc.

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12

13 JOSEPH STOPA, derivatively on behalf of
14 Nominal Defendant, EQUINIX, INC.,

15 Plaintiff,

16 vs.

17 STEVEN CLONTZ, GARY HROMADKO,
18 SCOTT KRIENS, WILLIAM LUBY,
19 IRVING LYONS, III, CHRISTOPHER
PAISLEY, STEPHEN SMITH, PETER VAN
CAMP,

20 Defendants.

21 and

22 EQUINIX, INC.,

23 Nominal Defendant.
24
25
26
27
28

Case No. 11-CV-02467-SC

Derivative Action

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER CONTINUING CASE
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND
RESETTING CERTAIN ADR
DEADLINES**

1 This stipulation is entered into by and among plaintiff Joseph Stopa (“Plaintiff”) and
 2 defendants Steven Clontz, Gary Hromadko, Scott Kriens, William Luby, Irving Lyons, III,
 3 Christopher Paisley, Stephen Smith, Peter Van Camp and nominal defendant Equinix, Inc.
 4 (collectively, “Defendants”), by and through their respective attorneys of record;

5 WHEREAS, presently pending before this Court is Plaintiff’s putative shareholder
 6 derivative action against certain officers and directors of Equinix, Inc. (“Equinix” or the
 7 “Company”) and against nominal defendant Equinix;

8 WHEREAS, this action has been deemed related to a putative shareholder class action
 9 complaint, entitled *Cement Masons & Plasterers Joint Pension Trust v. Equinix, Inc., et al.*, Case
 10 No. 11-CV-01016-SC (N.D. Cal.) (“*Cement Masons*”), which is currently pending in this Court
 11 against Equinix and certain officers and directors under the Private Securities Litigation Reform
 12 Act (“PSLRA”);

13 WHEREAS, plaintiff in the *Cement Masons* case filed an Amended Complaint for
 14 Violation of the Federal Securities Laws (“Amended Class Action Complaint”) on September 22,
 15 2011, defendants filed a motion to dismiss the *Cement Masons*’ Amended Class Action
 16 Complaint on November 7, 2011, and that motion has been fully briefed and taken under
 17 submission by the Court;

18 WHEREAS, the operative complaint in this action is an Amended Complaint filed on
 19 December 14, 2011;

20 WHEREAS, pursuant to stipulation of the parties, the Court has entered orders on July 14,
 21 2011 and October 18, 2011 temporarily staying proceedings in this action pending a ruling on the
 22 motion to dismiss in *Cement Masons*;

23 WHEREAS, pursuant to this Court’s orders, Defendants have no obligation to move,
 24 plead or otherwise respond to Plaintiffs’ Amended Complaint until after this Court rules on
 25 defendants’ motion to dismiss the *Cement Masons* Amended Class Action Complaint;

26 WHEREAS, because the Court has not yet issued an order on defendants’ motion to
 27 dismiss the *Cement Masons*’ Amended Class Action Complaint, this case currently remains
 28 stayed and Defendants have not yet responded to the Amended Complaint herein;

1 WHEREAS, a case management conference is currently set for March 16, 2012;

2 WHEREAS, in light of the status of this action, the parties believe that a case management
3 conference at this point would serve no purpose and would result in the needless expenditure of
4 private and judicial resources, and that the initial case management conference in this action
5 should be continued and have conferred with the Court and have been informed that May 25,
6 2012 at 10:00 a.m. is a convenient date for the case management conference;

7 WHEREAS, on May 20, 2011, the Court in the above-captioned action issued an Order
8 Setting Initial Case Management Conference and ADR Deadlines, requiring that the parties meet
9 and confer regarding ADR process selection, file ADR Certifications and either stipulate to an
10 ADR Process or file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference by August 9, 2011 (the "ADR
11 deadlines");

12 WHEREAS, in light of the stay, the parties also believe that the ADR deadlines should
13 also be continued to thirty (30) days after the stay is lifted;

14 IT IS ACCORDINGLY STIPULATED, by and between the undersigned counsel for the
15 Parties, that:

16 1. The case management conference presently scheduled for March 16, 2012 is
17 continued to May 25, 2012, at 10:00 a.m.

18 2. The parties will file a Joint Case Management Conference Statement seven (7)
19 days prior to the case management conference.

20 3. The ADR deadlines are continued to thirty (30) days after the stay is lifted.

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 Dated: March 1, 2012

FENWICK & WEST LLP

2 By: /s/ Catherine Kevane
3 Catherine Kevane

4 FENWICK & WEST, LLP

5 Attorneys for Defendants Steven Clontz, Gary
6 Hromadko, Scott Kriens, William Luby, Irving
7 Lyons, III, Christopher Paisley, Stephen Smith,
8 Peter Van Camp and nominal defendant Equinix,
9 Inc.

8 Dated: March 1, 2012

9 GREEN WELLING, P.C.

10 By: /s/ Robert S. Green
11 Robert S. Green

12 GREEN WELLING, P.C.

13 FEDERMAN & SHERWOOD

14 Attorneys for Plaintiff
15 Joseph Stopa


16 Pursuant to General Order No. 45 Section X(B), all of the signatories concur in the filing
17 of this stipulation.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the foregoing stipulation, it is hereby ordered that:

1. The case management conference presently scheduled for March 16, 2012 is continued to May 25, 2012 at 10:00 a.m.
2. The parties will file a Joint Case Management Conference Statement seven (7) days prior to the case management conference.
3. The ADR deadlines are continued to thirty (30) days after the stay is lifted.

Dated: 3/2/12 _____



Samuel Conti

FENWICK & WEST LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
MOUNTAIN VIEW